

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/977,100	10/12/2001	Steven Tian Chye Cheok	934.136US1	1697	
75	590 01/18/2005	EXAMINER			
Kirk A. Cesar	-	MCCARTHY, CHRISTOPHER S			
	CHNOLOGY LLC AL PROPERTY DEPT-S	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1280 DISC DRIVE			2113		
SHAKOPEE, 1	MN 55379-1863	D. WILLIAM DD. 01/10/000	_		

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>						
		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		09/977,10	00	CHEOK ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
			er S. McCarthy	2113		
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply 	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress	
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no even n. a reply within the state eriod will apply and wi statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on a This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncommunication stress.	This action is nowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-19</u> is/are pending in the state of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3-8</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from co				
Applicati	on Papers					
10) 🖾 -	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 October 2001</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th	/are: a)⊠ acce the drawing(s) b prection is require	e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Butee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage	
Attachment	(e)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/977,100

Art Unit: 2113

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohata et al U.S. Patent 6,469,978 in view of Ko U.S. Patent Application Publication US2004/0073832.

As per claim 1, Ohata teaches a data storage system comprising a first storage medium having a plurality of zones including a predefined first zone having a plurality of sectors of data and a predefined second zone having a plurality of sectors of data and a predefined third zone having a plurality of sectors of data (column 7, lines 10-27; figure 3); a controller operable to retrieve and store data on the first storage medium (column 10, lines 25-27), wherein a first predetermined number of spare sectors are allocated to the first zone (column 8, lines 53-55). However, Ohata does not explicitly teach a second predetermined number of spare sectors are allocated to the second zone and third zone combined. Ko does teach a second predetermined number of spare sectors are allocated to the second zone and third zone combined (paragraph 0030). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the process of combining zones into a group, as taught by Ko, in the process of the spare sector allocation of Ohata. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the process of combining zones into a group, as taught by Ko, in the process of the spare

sector allocation of Ohata because Ko teaches the importance of allocating spare sectors for a sector of a zone, or group, that may become defective (paragraph 0023, 0012); a desire explicitly taught by Ohata (column 4, lines 31-39; column 3, lines 1-5).

As per claim 2, Ohata teaches the system according to claim 1, wherein all of the plurality of sectors of data in the first zone are recorded at a predetermined first frequency, all of the plurality of sectors of data in the second zone are recorded at a predetermined second frequency that is different than the first frequency, and all of the plurality of sectors of data in the third zone are recorded at a predetermined third frequency that is different than the first frequency and different than the second frequency (column 8, lines 47-49).

As per claim 9, Ohata teaches the system according to claim 1, further comprising an information-handling system operatively coupled to transmit data to and from the data storage device (column 10, lines 5-45; figure 13); an input/output subsystem operatively coupled to input and output data to the information-handling system (figure 13); and a memory operatively coupled to transmit data to and from the information-handling system (figure 13; column 10, lines 5-47).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 11-19 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 3-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: See attached PTO-892.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. McCarthy whose telephone number is (571)272-3651. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571)272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/977,100

Art Unit: 2113

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

csm January 7, 2005

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 5